arXiv:1505.05498v2 [math.AP] 25 Nov 2015

SCHAUDER ESTIMATES IN GENERALIZED HÖLDER SPACES

JONGCHUN BAE AND MORITZ KASSMANN

Abstract. We prove Schauder estimates in generalized Hölder spaces $C^{\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. These spaces are characterized by a general modulus of continuity ψ, which cannot be represented by a real number. We consider linear operators $\mathcal{L}$ between such spaces. The operators $\mathcal{L}$ under consideration are integrodifferential operators with a functional order of differentiability φ which, again, is not represented by a real number. Assuming that $\mathcal{L}$ has ψ-continuous coefficients, we prove that solutions $u \in C^{\varphi\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to linear equations $\mathcal{L}u = f \in C^{\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy a priori estimates in $C^{\varphi\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

1. Introduction

Schauder estimates are a central tool in the study of classical solutions to differential equations with Hölder continuous coefficients. In short, the idea of this approach is to view these equations on a small scale as perturbations to equations with constant coefficients. This approach allows to use ideas from potential theory when treating equations with variable coefficients. An exposition of this method can be found in any serious textbook on partial differential equations.

For differential operators of second order, the main assertion in this field is an estimate of the form

$$\|u\|_{C^{2+\alpha}} \leq c\left(\|u\|_{C^0} + \|f\|_{C^\alpha}\right)$$

for all solutions u to elliptic equations of second order $Lu = f$ [GT83, Theorem 6.2] with some positive generic constant c, depending on the ellipticity of L, the dimension d and the number $\alpha \in (0,1)$. The order 2 and the value α are independent quantities in this estimate. The estimate holds for different values of α but, as shown in [Bas09, DK13, ROS14, JX14], it holds analogously for solutions to integrodifferential equations where the driving operator is an integrodifferential operator with fractional order of differentiability $\beta \in (0,2)$. The prototype of such an operator is provided by the fractional Laplace operator $(-\Delta)^{\beta/2}$, which can be defined for $u \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$-(-\Delta)^{\beta/2}u(x) = \mathcal{C}_{\beta,d} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} \big(u(x+h) - 2u(x) + u(x-h)\big)|h|^{-d-\beta}\,\mathrm{d}h\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\beta,d}$ is an appropriate constant. Note that $\mathcal{F}((-\Delta)^{\beta/2}u)(\xi) = |\xi|^\beta \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)$, where $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the Fourier transform. Hence the name is “fractional Laplace operator”.

The aim of this article is to prove Schauder estimates for a finer scale of function spaces and of operators at the same time. Let us explain this idea step by step. The Hölder space $C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is characterized by the number $\alpha \in (0,1)$ which appears in the bound of the modulus of continuity:

$$v \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} |v(x)| + \sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|v(x)-v(y)|}{|x-y|^\alpha} < +\infty$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35B45; Secondary 47G20, 60J45.

Key words and phrases. Schauder estimates, integrodifferential operators, potential theory.

Support by the German Science Foundation (DFG) and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), supporting the initiation of an international collaboration (RO 1195/10 of DFG and 2012K2A5A6047864 of NRF), is gratefully acknowledged.

We will study estimates in more general space $C^{\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ where a function $\psi : (0,1] \to (0,\infty)$ is used to replace $|x-y|^{\alpha}$ in the above expression by $\psi(|x-y|)$. In this sense, we study a much finer scale of function spaces. This scale is of particular interest when studying mapping properties of integrodifferential operators because for them such scales turn out to be natural. Note that generalized Hölder spaces have been studied in various settings and for very long. We mention several articles in Section 2 when we define and discuss these spaces.

Schauder estimates for integrodifferential operators are proved in [Bas09, DK13, ROS14, JX14, KK15] in different contexts. Our approach is inspired by the straightforward approach in [Bas09]. We also make use of recent developments in potential theory obtained in [KKK13] when studying the translation invariant case. The new contribution of this work is twofold. On the one hand, we allow the integration kernels to have general singularities at $h = 0$. On the other hand, we study the resulting a priori estimates in a much finer scale of function spaces. Although these developments could be approached separately, the main new finding of our work is that they naturally belong together. This phenomenon does not exist in the study of differential equations of second order.

Let us first discuss the function spaces $C^{\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We assume $\lim_{r\to 0+}\psi(r) = 0$. In order to describe the order of differentiability induced by a particular function ψ, we need to introduce two indices. We define indices M_{ψ} and m_{ψ} by

$$M_{\psi} = \inf\left\{\alpha\in\mathbb{R} | r \to \tfrac{\psi(r)}{r^{\alpha}} \text{ is almost decreasing in } (0,1]\right\}, \tag{1.2}$$
$$m_{\psi} = \sup\left\{\alpha\in\mathbb{R} | r \to \tfrac{\psi(r)}{r^{\alpha}} \text{ is almost increasing in } (0,1]\right\}. \tag{1.3}$$

See the definition for the almost monotonicity in Section 2. Note that if ψ is a regularly varying function of order $\alpha\in(0,1)$ at zero like $\psi(r) = r^{\alpha}$ or $\psi(r) = r^{\alpha}|\ln(\frac{2}{r})|$ we find $M_{\psi} = m_{\psi} = \alpha$. We denote the closed interval $[m_{\psi}, M_{\psi}]$ by I_{ψ}. This interval describes the range of the functional order of differentiability induced by ψ. For example, the condition $I_{\psi}\subset(0,1)$ implies that $C^{M_{\psi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)\subset C^{\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d)\subset C^{m_{\psi}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. On the other hand, cases $I_{\psi}\cap\mathbb{N}\neq\emptyset$ lead to well-known technical difficulties which we want to avoid. See Section 2 for a more detailed discussion of the spaces $C^{\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ including appropriate norms.

Our ultimate goal is to study integrodifferential operators which are not translation invariant, i.e., which have state dependent kernels. As it is usually done in the theory of Schauder estimates, we first study the translation invariant case, i.e., we study integrodifferential operators with constant coefficients. Since, in this case, our assumptions imply that these operators satisfy the maximum principle and generate Lévy processes, we can employ techniques from potential theory.

Let us define the integrodifferential operators under consideration. Let $\varphi : (0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$ be a smooth function with $\varphi(1) = 1$. We assume that the function ϕ defined by $\phi(r) = \varphi(r^{-1/2})^{-1}$ is a Bernstein function, i.e., satisfies $(-1)^n\phi^{(n)}(r)\leq 0$ for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, we assume the scaling condition

$$a_1\lambda^{\delta_1}\phi(r)\leq\phi(\lambda r)\leq a_2\lambda^{\delta_2}\phi(r) \qquad (\lambda\geq 1, r\in(0,\infty)) \tag{1.4}$$

or, equivalently,

$$a_1\lambda^{2\delta_1}\varphi(r)\leq\varphi(\lambda r)\leq a_2\lambda^{2\delta_2}\varphi(r) \qquad (\lambda\geq 1, r\in(0,\infty)) \tag{1.5}$$

for some constants $0<\delta_1\leq\delta_2<1$, $a_1\in(0,1]$, and $a_2\in[1,\infty)$. Typical examples are given by $\varphi(s) = s^{\alpha}$ or $\varphi(s) = s^{\alpha}\ln(1+s^{\beta})$ for $\alpha,\beta,\alpha+\beta\in(0,2)$. In particular, we point out $I_{\varphi}\subset[2\delta_1, 2\delta_2]$.

Let a_0 be a measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ into $[\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2]$ for some positive constants Λ_1, Λ_2. In the case $M_\varphi \in [1,2)$ we define $\mathcal{L}_0$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_0 u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(x+h) - u(x) - \nabla u(x) \cdot h \mathbb{1}_{\{|h| \le 1\}}) \frac{a_0(h)}{|h|^d \varphi(|h|)} \, \mathrm{d}h, \tag{1.6}$$

and in the case $M_\varphi \in (0,1)$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_0 u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(x+h) - u(x)) \frac{a_0(h)}{|h|^d \varphi(|h|)} \, \mathrm{d}h \tag{1.7}$$

for all continuous functions $u : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ for which the integral is well defined for every point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Note that this domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}_0)$ includes functions $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which we assume to be bounded. The class of operators $\mathcal{L}_0$ is significantly larger than those of (1.1). The main difference is that the order of differentiability of $\mathcal{L}_0$ is represented by the function φ and cannot be represented by a single number. Note that φ may be chosen as not regularly varying at zero. As we will see, our scale of function spaces C^ψ is well suited to formulate mapping properties of such operators.

Our Schauder estimate for translation invariant operators reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let φ and ψ be functions described above. Suppose $I_\psi \subset (0,1)$ and $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (0,1) \cup (1,2) \cup (2,3)$. There exists C_1 such that for $u \in C^{\varphi\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $f \in C^\psi(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying $\mathcal{L}_0 u = f$, the following estimate holds:*

$$\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \le C_1(\|u\|_{C^0} + \|f\|_{C^\psi}).$$

We prove this result in Section 3 using a semigroup approach. In our proofs we benefit from ideas in [Bas09] and [KKK13]. Once Theorem 1.1 is established, we can use a perturbation argument to treat integrodifferential operators with variable coefficients $a : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to [\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2]$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be defined by

$$\mathcal{L}u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(u(x+h) - u(x) - \nabla u(x) \cdot h \mathbb{1}_{\{|h| \le 1\}}\right) \frac{a(x,h)}{|h|^d \varphi(|h|)} \, \mathrm{d}h \tag{1.8}$$

when $M_\varphi \in [1,2)$ and by

$$\mathcal{L}u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(x+h) - u(x)) \, \frac{a(x,h)}{|h|^d \varphi(|h|)} \, \mathrm{d}h \tag{1.9}$$

when $M_\varphi \in (0,1)$ for all continuous functions $u : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ for which the integral is well defined for every point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Note that this domain equals $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}_0)$. The coefficient function $a : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to [\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2]$ is assumed to satisfy

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \sup_{|h|>0} |a(x+z,h) - a(x,h)| \le \Lambda_3 \psi(|z|) \qquad (|z| \le 1) \tag{1.10}$$

for some positive constant $\Lambda_3 \ge 1$. This condition requires the function $x \mapsto a(x,h)$ to be ψ-continuous uniformly in h.

We have already mentioned that the definition of Hölder and Hölder-Zygmund spaces is delicate when the order of differentiability is an integer. In order to formulate our main result we need to exclude this case. We assume further:

$$[m_{\varphi\psi}, M_{\varphi\psi}] \cap \mathbb{N} = \emptyset \, , \qquad M_\varphi \vee M_\psi < m_{\varphi\psi} \, . \tag{1.11}$$

Let us formulate the main result of this work.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that, in addition to the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, condition (1.11) is satisfied. In the case $1 \in I_\varphi$, we assume $a(x,h) = a(x,-h)$ for all $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then there exists a positive constant C_2 such that for every $f \in C^\psi(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and every solution $u \in C^{\varphi\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to the equation $\mathcal{L}u = f$ the following estimate holds:*

$$\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \le C_2\big(\|u\|_{C^0} + \|f\|_{C^\psi}\big) \, .$$

Let us make a few comments. Note that Theorem 1.2 trivially implies Theorem 1.1. The assumption $a(x,h) = a(x,-h)$ for all $x,h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ in the case $1 \in I_\varphi$ is natural due to the appearance of the gradient term in the definition of $\mathcal{L}$. Note that this assumption needs to be added to [Bas09, Corollary 5.2] in order for the corollary to be correct. The first part of (1.11) is natural and resembles the fact that Lipschitz function space is not equal to the space $C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The other parts of (1.11) would vanish if we restricted ourselves to the (large) class of regularly varying functions ψ and φ.

It is important to note that the a priori estimate provided by Theorem 1.2 is the best possible. This follows from the mapping properties of $\mathcal{L}$, which are given in the following theorem. We defer the proof of this result to Section 5.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that $M_\varphi \vee M_\psi < m_\varphi + m_\psi$. Assume $\mathcal{L}$ and $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ satisfy (1.8) resp. (1.9), and (1.10). Furthermore, if $1 \in I_\varphi$ we assume that $a(x,h) = a(x,-h)$ for every $x,h \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then the operator $\mathcal{L}$ is a continuous operator from $C^{\varphi\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $C^\psi(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define and study the generalized Hölder spaces $C^\psi(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The proof of Theorem 1.2 relies on a perturbation technique. First, we study the operator $\mathcal{L}_0$ which is obtained by "freezing" the coefficients via $a_0(h) = a(x_0,h)$ for some arbitrary but fixed point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In Section 3 we derive estimates on the transition density for the semigroup generated by $\mathcal{L}_0$. Section 3 also contains the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4 we prove our main result, Theorem 1.2. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is given in Section 5.

2. Generalized Hölder spaces

In this section we define the function spaces $C^\psi(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and discuss several of their properties. Unfortunately, we are not able to use results from the literature despite an intensive search. Since generalized smoothness of functions and related function spaces have been studied for several decades, it is likely that the results of this section have been proved somewhere else. Let us mention only a very few expositions which might be valuable for the interested reader. A very early source is [BS56]. Several cases and results are established in [Ul′68, Gol72, KL87]. Some more recent works include [FL06, KN12] where many more references can be found.

We denote by $C^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Banach space of real-valued, bounded, and continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ equipped with the norm $\|f\|_{C^0(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|f\|_{C^0} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)| < \infty$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $C^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Banach space of functions $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with all derivatives $D^\gamma f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $|\gamma| \leq m$. Here, we denote by $D^\gamma f$ the partial derivative $\partial_{x_1}^{\gamma_1} \cdots \partial_{x_d}^{\gamma_d} f$ and $|\gamma| = \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i$ for the multi index $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$. By $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we denote the Fréchet space of real-valued continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Let ψ be a positive real valued function on $(0,1]$ with $\psi(1) = 1$ and $\lim_{r\to 0+} \psi(r) = 0$. For $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we define a seminorm $[f]_{C^{-j;\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ by

$$[f]_{C^{-j;\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \sup_{0<|h|\leq 1} \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}{\psi(|h|)|h|^{-j}},$$

and a vector space of functions $C^{-j;\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$C^{-j;\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ f \in C(\mathbb{R}^d) \big| [f]_{C^{-j;\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \infty \right\}.$$

We abuse the notation $[u]_{C^{0;\psi}} = [u]_{C^\psi}$ for the convenience. Following [BGT89], for a subinterval I on $(0,\infty)$ we call a function $\psi : I \to (0,\infty)$ *almost increasing* if there is a constant $c \in (0,1]$ such that $c\psi(r) \leq \psi(R)$ for $r,R \in I, r \leq R$. On the other hand, we call such ψ *almost decreasing* if there is $C \in [1,\infty)$ such that $\psi(R) \leq C\psi(r)$ for $r,R \in I$ and $r \leq R$. Recall the definition of the indices M_ψ and m_ψ from (1.2) and (1.3). Now we can finally define the function spaces $C^\psi(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Definition 2.1. In the case of $m_\psi \in (0,1]$, let $C^\psi(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be defined by

$$C^\psi(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}^d) | f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ and } [f]_{C^{0;\psi}} < \infty\}.$$

In the case of $m_\psi \in (k, k+1]$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $C^\psi(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} C^\psi(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}^d) \,|\, D^\gamma f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^d) & \text{ for all } 0 \le |\gamma| \le k \\ & \text{and } D^\gamma f \in C^{-k;\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ for all } |\gamma| = k\}\,. \end{aligned}$$

In the case of $m_\psi \in (k, k+1]$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the ψ-Hölder norm $\|\cdot\|_{C^\psi}$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{C^\psi} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \left\|D^j f\right\|_{C^0} + [D^k f]_{C^{-k;\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\,.$$

Here, we use the notation $D^0 f = f$ and denote the maximum of C^0-norms and $C^{-k;\psi}$-seminorms of all k-th derivatives of f by $\|D^k f\|_{C^0}$ and $[D^k f]_{C^{-k;\psi}}$ respectively. In particular, when $k = 1$, we omit the exponent for the sake of brevity.

If there is no ambiguity, then we write C^ψ instead of $C^\psi(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let us start with some observations. Note that for $\alpha \in (0,1)$ the two seminorms

$$[f]^{(1)}_{C^\alpha} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \sup_{|h|>0} \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}{|h|^\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad [f]^{(2)}_{C^\alpha} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \sup_{|h|>0} \frac{|f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h)|}{|h|^\alpha}$$

are equivalent. We prove an analogous property in our more general function spaces. The condition $\alpha \in (0,1)$ translates to $I_\psi \subset (0,1)$ in our setting. To shorten notation, let us write first-order and second-order differences as follows:

$$\Delta_h f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^2_h f(x) = f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h)\,.$$

For the sake of brevity we use the notation

$$[[f]]_{C^\psi} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \sup_{0<|h|\le 1} \frac{|f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h)|}{\psi(|h|)}.$$

Triangle inequality gives the trivial inequality

$$[[f]]_{C^\psi} \le 2[f]_{C^\psi}.$$

We will show in the following lemma that the seminorm $[f]_{C^\psi}$ is bounded above by the sum of $\|f\|_{C^0}$ and a seminorm $[[f]]_{C^\psi}$. Summing up we get the equivalence between the two norms $\|f\|_{C^\psi}$ and $\|f\|_{C^0} + [[f]]_{C^\psi}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $I_\psi \subset (0,1)$ and $f \in C^\psi$. There exists a constant $C = C(\psi)$ such that*

$$[f]_{C^\psi} \le C\left(\|f\|_{C^0} + [[f]]_{C^\psi}\right).$$

Proof. From the definition of m_ψ and M_ψ we choose constants $c_1 \in (0,1]$ and $c_2 \in [1,\infty)$ such that

$$c_1 \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{m_\psi/2} \le \frac{\psi(R)}{\psi(r)} \le c_2 \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{(M_\psi+1)/2} \quad \text{for } r \le R \le 1. \tag{2.1}$$

Let n be an integer greater than $(2c_2)^{2/(1-M_\psi)}$. For every $0 < |h| \le 1$, we have

$$n\Delta_{h/n} f(x) = \Delta_h f(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)\Delta^2_{h/n} f(x + \frac{k}{n}h).$$

Dividing by $\psi(|h|)$, we obtain

$$\frac{n\psi(|h|/n)}{\psi(|h|)} \frac{|\Delta_{h/n} f(x)|}{\psi(|h|/n)} \le \frac{|\Delta_h f(x)|}{\psi(|h|)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k) \frac{|\Delta^2_{h/n} f(x + \frac{k}{n}h)|}{\psi(|h|/n)} \frac{\psi(|h|/n)}{\psi(|h|)}.$$

Using (2.1) with $R = |h|$ and $r = |h|/n$ and taking supremum over $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $0 < |h| \le 1$, we get

$$c_2^{-1} n^{(1-M_\psi)/2} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \sup_{0<|h|<1/n} \frac{|\Delta_h f(x)|}{\psi(|h|)} \le [f]_{C^\psi} + \frac{(n-1)n^{1-m_\psi/2}}{2c_1}[[f]]_{C^\psi}.$$

Since $\psi(|h|) \ge c_2^{-1}|h|^{(M_\psi+1)/2} \ge c_2^{-1} n^{-(M_\psi+1)/2}$ for $1/n \le |h| \le 1$, we also have

$$c_2^{-1} n^{(1-M_\psi)/2} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \sup_{1/n\le|h|\le 1} \frac{|\Delta_h f(x)|}{\psi(|h|)} \le 2n\|f\|_{C^0}.$$

Therefore, from the choice of n we obtain

$$[f]_{C^\psi} \le 2n\|f\|_{C^0} + (2c_1)^{-1}(n-1)n^{1-m_\psi/2}[[f]]_{C^\psi},$$

which implies the result. □

This equivalence is allowed for the case $I_\psi \subset (1,2)$ by the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. *If $I_\psi \subset (1,2)$, then for small $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C = C(d,\psi,\varepsilon) > 0$ such that*

$$\|Df\|_{C^0} \le C\|f\|_{C^0} + \varepsilon[Df]_{C^{-1;\psi}}. \tag{2.2}$$

If $I_\psi \subset (2,3)$, then for small $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C = C(d,\psi,\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\|Df\|_{C^0} + \|D^2 f\|_{C^0} \le C\|f\|_{C^0} + \varepsilon[D^2 f]_{C^{-2;\psi}}. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. First consider the case $I_\psi \subset (1,2)$. Fix $1 \le i \le d$ and x be a point in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $c_1 \in (0,1]$ such that

$$c_1\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{(m_\psi+1)/2} \le \frac{\psi(R)}{\psi(r)}, \qquad \text{for } r \le R \le 1.$$

The case when $[D_i f]_{C^{-1;\psi}} = 0$ is trivial, so we suppose not. Define $N = (\|f\|_{C^0}/[D_i f]_{C^{-1;\psi}})^{2/(m_\psi+1)}$ if $\|f\|_{C^0} \le [D_i f]_{C^{-1;\psi}}$ and $N = (\|f\|_{C^0}/[D_i f]_{C^{-1;\psi}})^{2/(M_\psi+2)}$ otherwise. We may only consider the first case because the proof for the other case is the same. By the mean value theorem, there exists x' on the line segment between x and $x + Ne_i$ such that

$$|D_i f(x')| = \frac{|f(x+Ne_i) - f(x)|}{N} \le \frac{2\|f\|_{C^0}}{N}.$$

Thus

$$|D_i f(x)| \le |D_i f(x')| + |D_i f(x') - D_i f(x)| \le \frac{2\|f\|_{C^0}}{N} + c_1^{-1}[D_i f]_{C^{-1;\psi}}\psi(N)N^{-1}.$$

With the fact $\psi(N) \le c_1^{-1}N^{(m_\psi+1)/2}$ and the choice of N,

$$|D_i f(x)| \le (2 + c_1^{-2})\|f\|_{C^0}^{1-2/(m_\psi+1)}[D_i f]_{C^{-1;\psi}}^{2/(m_\psi+1)}. \tag{2.4}$$

Taking the supremum over $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and then applying the inequality

$$r^\theta s^{1-\theta} \le r + s, \quad r, s > 0, \theta \in (0,1),$$

we obtain

$$\|D_i f\|_{C^0} \le (2 + c_1^{-2})(\|f\|_{C^0} + [D_i f]_{C^{-1;\psi}}).$$

By the scaling argument we get (2.2).

Now, we assume $I_\psi \subset (2,3)$. Let c_2 be a constant such that

$$c_2\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{(m_\psi+2)/2} \le \frac{\psi(R)}{\psi(r)}, \qquad \text{for } r \le R \le 1.$$

By the above argument used to obtain (2.4), we have

$$\|D_i f\|_{C^0} \le 3\|f\|_{C^0}^{1/2}\|D_{ii}f\|_{C^0}^{1/2}$$

Thus it suffices to show the second result for the left hand side replaced by $\|D^2 f\|_{C^0}$. The same argument for (2.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}|D_{ij}f(x)| &\le (2+c_2^{-2})\|D_j f\|_{C^0}^{1-2/m_\psi}[D_{ij}f]_{C^{-2;\psi}}^{2/m_\psi}\\ &\le 3(2+c_2^{-2})\|f\|_{C^0}^{1/2-1/m_\psi}\|D^2 f\|_{C^0}^{1/2-1/m_\psi}[D_{ij}f]_{C^{-2;\psi}}^{2/m_\psi},\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|D^2 f\|_{C^0} \le c_3\|f\|_{C^0}^{(m_\psi-2)/(m_\psi+2)}[D^2 f]_{C^{-2;\psi}}^{4/(m_\psi+2)} \le c_3(\|f\|_{C^0}+[D^2 f]_{C^{-2;\psi}}).$$

By the scaling argument we get (2.3). □

Lemma 2.4. *Assume $I_\psi \subset (1,2)$ and $f \in C^\psi(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(\psi)$ such that*

$$[D_i f]_{C^{-1;\psi}} \le C\left(\|f\|_{C^0} + [[f]]_{C^\psi}\right), \tag{2.5}$$

for every $i = 1,\dots,d$.

Proof: Define $\bar\psi(r) := r^{-1}\psi(r)$. Then $I_{\bar\psi} \subset (0,1)$. First note that it is shown in Lemma 2.2 that the seminorm $[\,\cdot\,]_{C^{\bar\psi}} (= [\,\cdot\,]_{C^{-1;\psi}})$ is bounded by $\|\cdot\|_{C^0} + [[\,\cdot\,]]_{C^{\bar\psi}}$. Choose $c_1 \ge 1$ such that for every $g \in C^{-1;\psi}$

$$[g]_{C^{-1;\psi}} \le c_1\left(\|g\|_{C^0} + [[g]]_{C^{\bar\psi}}\right). \tag{2.6}$$

Since $D_i f \in C^{-1;\psi}$ for any $f \in C^\psi$,

$$[D_i f]_{C^{-1;\psi}} \le c_1\left(\|D_i f\|_{C^0} + [[D_i f]]_{C^{\bar\psi}}\right).$$

We claim that

$$[[D_i f]]_{C^{\bar\psi}} \le c_2(\|D_i f\|_{C^0} + [[f]]_{C^\psi}), \quad i = 1,\dots,d \tag{2.7}$$

for some constant c_2 not depending on f. If we prove the claim, then the following estimate from Lemma 2.3 below

$$\|D_i f\|_{C^0} \le (2c_1(1+c_2))^{-1}[D_i f]_{C^{-1;\psi}} + c_3\|f\|_{C^0}$$

implies (2.5) with $C = 2c_1(1+c_2)(1+c_3)$.

In order to prove (2.7) we only consider the case $i = 1$. The remaining cases can be dealt with analogously. For $k, h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&|k||\Delta_h^2(D_1 f)(x)|\\ &= \Big||k|D_1 f(x+h) - \Delta_{|k|e_1}f(x+h) + \Delta_{|k|e_1}f(x+h)\\ &\quad - 2\big(|k|D_1 f(x) - \Delta_{|k|e_1}f(x)\big) - 2\Delta_{|k|e_1}f(x)\\ &\quad + |k|D_1 f(x-h) - \Delta_{|k|e_1}f(x-h) + \Delta_{|k|e_1}f(x-h)\Big|\\ &\le |k|\big|D_1 f(x+h) - D_1 f(x+h+\theta_1|k|e_1)\big| + 2|k|\big|D_1 f(x) - D_1 f(x+\theta_2|k|e_1)\big|\\ &\quad + |k|\big|D_1 f(x-h) - D_1 f(x-h+\theta_3|k|e_1)\big| + |\Delta_h^2 f(x+|k|e_1)| + |\Delta_h^2 f(x)|\end{aligned}$$

for some real numbers $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3 \in [0,1]$. Let $c_4 \in (0,1]$ be a constant such that

$$c_4\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{(1+m_\psi)/2} \le \frac{\psi(R)}{\psi(r)} \quad \text{for } r \le R \le 1. \tag{2.8}$$

If $|k| \le |h| \le 1$ then the sum of the first three terms is bounded by

$$4c_4^{-1}[D_1 f]_{C^{-1;\psi}}\psi(|k|), \tag{2.9}$$

and the sum of the last two terms is bounded by

$$2[[f]]_{C^\psi}\psi(|h|). \tag{2.10}$$

Using (2.6), (2.9) and (2.10) we have

$$|k||\Delta_h^2(D_1f)(x)| \le 4c_1c_4^{-1}([[D_1f]]_{C^{\bar\psi}} + \|D_1f\|_{C^0})\psi(|k|) + 2[[f]]_{C^\psi}\psi(|h|)$$

for $|k| \le |h| \le 1$. Taking $k = \varepsilon h$ with $\varepsilon = (8c_1c_4^{-2})^{2/(1-m_\psi)}$ gives us

$$\varepsilon|h||\Delta_h^2(D_1f)(x)| \le 4c_1c_4^{-1}([[D_1f]]_{C^{\bar\psi}} + \|D_1f\|_{C^0})\psi(\varepsilon|h|) + 2[[f]]_{C^\psi}\psi(|h|).$$

Dividing both sides by $\varepsilon\psi(|h|)$ and using (2.8), we obtain

$$\frac{|\Delta_h^2(D_1f)(x)|}{\psi(|h|)|h|^{-1}} \le 4c_1c_4^{-2}\varepsilon^{(m_\psi-1)/2}([[D_1f]]_{C^{\bar\psi}} + \|D_1f\|_{C^0}) + 2\varepsilon^{-1}[[f]]_{C^\psi} \tag{2.11}$$

for $|h| \le 1$. Taking supremum to (2.11) over $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $0 < |h| \le 1$ we have an inequality

$$[[D_1f]]_{C^{\bar\psi}} \le 4c_1c_4^{-2}\varepsilon^{(m_\psi-1)/2}\left([[D_1f]]_{C^{\bar\psi}} + \|D_1f\|_{C^0}\right) + 2\varepsilon^{-1}[[f]]_{C^\psi}$$

which implies (2.7) with $c_2 = 1 + 4(8c_1c_4^{-2})^{2/(m_\psi-1)}$. □

By summing up the results in Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 we get the following equivalence.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $I_\psi \subset (0,1) \cup (1,2)$. For $f \in C^\psi$ the norm $\|f\|_{C^\psi}$ is equivalent to the norm*

$$\|f\|_{C^0} + [[f]]_{C^\psi}.$$

Proposition 2.6. *Assume $I_{\psi_1}, I_{\psi_2} \subset (0,1)\cup(1,2)\cup(2,3)$ and $M_{\psi_1} < m_{\psi_2}$. For any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there exists $C = C(d, \psi_1, \psi_2, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that*

$$\|f\|_{C^{\psi_1}} \le C\,\|f\|_{C^0} + \varepsilon\,\|f\|_{C^{\psi_2}}$$

Proof. We first consider the case $I_{\psi_2} \subset (0,1)$. Let c_1 and c_2 be the constants such that $\psi_1(|h|) \ge c_1|h|^{(2M_{\psi_1}+m_{\psi_2})/3}$ and $\psi_2(|h|) \le c_2|h|^{(M_{\psi_1}+2m_{\psi_2})/3}$. Let $h_0 = (c_1c_2^{-1}\varepsilon)^{3/(m_{\psi_2}-M_{\psi_1})}$. If $|h| \le h_0$, then

$$\frac{|\Delta_h f(x)|}{\psi_1(|h|)} \le [f]_{C^{0;\psi_2}}\frac{\psi_2(|h|)}{\psi_1(|h|)} \le \varepsilon[f]_{C^{0;\psi_2}}.$$

If $h_0 < |h| \le 1$, then

$$\frac{|\Delta_h f(x)|}{\psi_1(|h|)} \le \frac{2}{\psi_1(|h|)}\|f\|_{C^0} \le c_3\psi_1(h_0)^{-1}\|f\|_{C^0}.$$

Combining the above two inequalities and taking supremum, we have

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\sup_{0<|h|\le 1}\frac{|\Delta_h f(x)|}{\psi_1(|h|)} \le c_4\|f\|_{C^0} + \varepsilon[f]_{C^{0;\psi_2}}. \tag{2.12}$$

Now we consider the case $I_{\psi_2} \subset (1,2)$. When $I_{\psi_1} \subset (0,1)$, it follows from (2.2) that

$$\|f\|_{C^{\psi_1}} \le c_5(\|f\|_{C^0} + \|Df\|_{C^0}) \le c_6\|f\|_{C^0} + \varepsilon[Df]_{C^{-1;\psi_2}}.$$

When $I_{\psi_1} \subset (1,2)$, it also follows from (2.2) that

$$\|f\|_{C^0} + \|Df\|_{C^0} \le c_8\|f\|_{C^0} + \varepsilon[Df]_{C^{-1;\psi_2}}.$$

Since $[Df]_{C^{-1;\psi}} = [Df]_{C^{0;\bar\psi}}$, where $\bar\psi(r) = r^{-1}\psi(r)$, applying (2.12) to Df with $\bar\psi_1$ and $\bar\psi_2$ follows that

$$[Df]_{C^{-1;\psi_1}} \le c_9\|f\|_{C^0} + \varepsilon[Df]_{C^{-1;\psi_2}}.$$

The remaining case $I_{\psi_2} \subset (2,3)$ is also proved by the same argument combined with (2.3). $\square$

The product rule of derivatives gives us the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7. *Assume* $I_\psi \subset (k, k+1)$ *for* $k \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then, there exists a constant* $c_1 = c_1(d,k,\psi) > 0$ *such that*

$$\|fg\|_{C^\psi} \leq c_1 \|f\|_{C^\psi} \|g\|_{C^\psi}.$$

Proof. By the product rule and the fact that $[D^j f]_{C^{-k;\psi}} \leq c_2 \|D^{j+1} f\|_{C^0}$ for $j \leq k$ we can obviously obtain the result. $\square$

3. The translation invariant case

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. Let us recall the main assumptions from the introduction. As explained in (1.6), (1.7) we study operators of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_0 u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(x+h) - u(x) - \nabla u(x) \cdot h \mathbb{1}_{\{|h|\leq 1\}}) \frac{a_0(h)}{|h|^d \varphi(|h|)} \, \mathrm{d}h, \tag{3.1}$$

where $a_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \to [\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2]$ is a measurable function and Λ_1, Λ_2 are positive numbers. The domain of this operator $\mathcal{L}_0$ contains bounded and smooth functions, e.g., $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Recall that we assume that $\varphi : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ is a smooth function with $\varphi(1) = 1$ and the function ϕ defined by $\phi(r) = \varphi(r^{-1/2})^{-1}$ is a Bernstein function, i.e., satisfies $(-1)^n \phi^{(n)}(r) \leq 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, we assume the scaling condition (1.4) or, equivalently, (1.5).

Our main idea is to apply methods from potential theory. Note that

$$\nu(\mathrm{d}h) = \frac{a_0(h)}{|h|^d \varphi(|h|)} \, \mathrm{d}h$$

defines a Lévy measure with respect to a centering function $\mathbb{1}_{\{|h|\leq 1\}}$. This measure ν induces a strongly continuous contraction semigroup (P_t) on the Banach space $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of continuous functions from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to $\mathbb{R}$ that vanish at infinity. We write C_∞ instead of $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In fact, (P_t) is also a semigroup on C^0 but not strongly continuous in general. Denote by $C^2_\infty = C^2_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of functions from C_∞ with the property that all derivatives up to order 2 are elements from C_∞. The infinitesimal generator $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$ of the semigroup (P_t) satisfies $Au = \mathcal{L}_0 u$ for every $u \in C^2_\infty$.

Our aim is to study the semigroup (P_t). To do this, we first consider a subordinate Brownian motion X with subordinator whose Laplace exponent is ϕ, see Section 3.1. If we denote by (Q_t) the semigroup of X, i.e., if

$$Q_t f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} q_d(t, x-y) f(y) dy,$$

for $f \in C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then its infinitesimal generator $(L, \mathcal{D}(L))$ acts on functions $f \in C^2_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in the following form:

$$Lf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x+h) - f(x) - \nabla f(x) \cdot h \mathbb{1}_{\{|h|\leq 1\}}) J(h) \, \mathrm{d}h. \tag{3.2}$$

The values of the so-called jumping function $J(h)$ are known to be comparable to $|h|^{-d} \phi(|h|^{-2})$. Since $\varphi(r) = \phi(r^{-2})^{-1}$, these values are also comparable to $\frac{a_0(h)}{|h|^d \varphi(|h|)}$ appearing in the definition of $\mathcal{L}_0$ in (3.1). That is why estimates of the semigroup (Q_t) and its derivatives imply estimates of the semigroup (P_t).

3.1. **Semigroup of subordinate Brownian motion.** Let $S = (S_t, t \geq 0)$ be a subordinator that is a nonnegative valued increasing Lévy process starting at zero. It is characterized by its Laplace exponent ϕ via

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(-\lambda S_t)] = e^{-t\phi(\lambda)}, \quad t \geq 0, \lambda > 0.$$

The Laplace exponent ϕ can be written in the form

$$\phi(\lambda) = b\lambda + \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{-\lambda t})\mu(dt),$$

where $b \geq 0$ and μ is a measure on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying $\int_{(0,\infty)}(1 \wedge t)\mu(dt) < \infty$, called the Lévy measure. Here, b and $\mu(A)$ describes the drift of S_t and the intensity of its jumps of size A. In this paper we assume that $b = 0, \phi(1) = 1$ and $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \phi(\lambda) = \infty$. Thus

$$\phi(\lambda) = \int_{(0,\infty)} (1 - e^{-\lambda t})\mu(dt).$$

Let $W = (W_t : t \geq 0)$ be the d-dimensional Brownian motion with the transition density $(4\pi t)^{-d/2} \exp\left(-|x|^2/(4t)\right)$ independent of S. Define a subordinate Brownian motion $X = (X_t : t \geq 0)$ by $X_t = W_{S_t}$. The characteristic function of X is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\xi\cdot X_t}\right] = e^{-t\phi(|\xi|^2)}$$

and X has the transition density

$$q_d(t, x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\xi\cdot x} e^{-t\phi(|\xi|^2)} d\xi.$$

Furthermore, if we denote the distribution of S_t by $\eta_t(dr) = \mathbb{P}(S_t \in dr)$ then $q_d(t, x)$ is the same as

$$\int_{(0,\infty)} (4\pi s)^{-d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{4s}\right) \eta_t(ds).$$

Thus $q_d(t, x)$ is smooth in x. Moreover, its Lévy measure has a rotationally symmetric density $J(x) = j(|x|)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure given by

$$j(r) = \int_0^\infty (4\pi t)^{-d/2} e^{-r^2/(4t)} \mu(dt)\,.$$

Note that J is the same function as in (3.2).

In order to obtain the necessary estimates on the semigroup of subordinate Brownian motion we make use of estimates on the transition density and its derivatives. In [KKK13] the authors obtain upper bounds of spatial derivatives of $q_d(t, x)$ when ϕ has a certain scaling condition. For our purposes a weaker version than [KKK13, Lemma 4.1] is sufficient. We formulate this result without a proof.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose ϕ satisfies condition (1.4). There exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that the following inequalities hold:*

$$q_d(t, x) \asymp \left(\phi^{-1}(t^{-1})^{d/2} \wedge \frac{t\phi(|x|^{-2})}{|x|^d}\right), \tag{3.3}$$

$$\sum_{|\gamma|=k} |D^\gamma q_d(t, x)| \leq C\phi^{-1}(t^{-1})^{k/2} \left(\phi^{-1}(t^{-1})^{d/2} \wedge \frac{t\phi(|x|^{-2})}{|x|^d}\right), \tag{3.4}$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $(t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose ϕ satisfies condition (1.4) and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a constant C depending only on $k, a_1, a_2, \delta_1, \delta_2$ and d such that for every multi-index γ with $|\gamma| = k$, and every bounded function f*

$$|D^\gamma Q_t f(x)| \le C\phi^{-1}(t^{-1})^{k/2}\|f\|_{C^0}, \quad t \in (0,\infty), x \in \mathbb{R}^d .$$

Proof. Comparability of the heat kernel $q_d(t,x)$ and $\phi^{-1}(t^{-1})^{d/2} \wedge \left(t\phi(|x|^{-2})|x|^{-d}\right)$ from (3.3) and estimate (3.4) imply

$$|D^\gamma Q_t f(x)| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} c_1\phi^{-1}(t^{-1})^{k/2} q_d(t, x-y) f(y)dy \le c_1\phi^{-1}(t^{-1})^{k/2}\|f\|_{C^0}$$

for every multi-index γ with $|\gamma| = k$. □

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** The aim of this subsection is to prove Theorem 1.1. Recall that (P_t) is the semigroup corresponding to the infinitesimal generator A. Let $C_0 \ge 1$ be the constant that ensures

$$C_0^{-1}|h|^{-d}\varphi(|h|)^{-1} \le J(h) \le C_0|h|^{-d}\varphi(|h|)^{-1} \qquad (h \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) .$$

An immediate consequence is that

$$(\Lambda_2 C_0)^{-1}\,\frac{a_0(h)}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)} \le J(h) \le \Lambda_1^{-1}C_0\,\frac{a_0(h)}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)} \qquad (h \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) .$$

The derivative estimates of (Q_t) from Corollary 3.2 imply estimates of (P_t) as the next result shows.

Theorem 3.3. *If $f \in C^0$, then $P_t f$ is $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $t > 0$ and for each multi-index γ with $|\gamma| = k$, there exists $C > 0$ (depending on k) such that*

$$|D^\gamma P_t f(x)| \le C\varphi^{-1}(t)^{-k}\|f\|_{C^0}.$$

Proof. We define

$$\mathcal{L}_1 f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x+h) - f(x) - \nabla f(x)\cdot h\mathbb{1}_{|h|\le 1})(\Lambda_2 C_0)^{-1}J(h)\,\mathrm{d}h$$

and $\mathcal{L}_2 f(x) = \mathcal{L}_0 f(x) - \mathcal{L}_1 f(x)$ for every $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let Q_t^1 and Q_t^2 be the semigroups whose infinitesimal generators are $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ respectively. Then $P_t = Q_t^1 Q_t^2$. Since Q_t^1 is the semigroup of the deterministic time changed process considered in Theorem 3.1, we can apply it to Q_t^1. Using the contraction property of Q_t^2, we get

$$|D^\gamma P_t f(x)| \le c_1\varphi^{-1}(t)^{-k}\|Q_t^2 f\|_{C^0} \le c_2\varphi^{-1}(t)^{-k}\|f\|_{C^0}.$$

□

Recall that we denote the interval of scaling orders of ψ by $I_\psi = [m_\psi, M_\psi]$. We define the potential operator as

$$Rf(x) = \int_0^\infty P_t f(x)dt$$

when the function $t \mapsto P_t f(x)$ is integrable. We want to prove that R takes functions in C^ψ into functions in $C^{\varphi\psi}$, provided that both I_ψ and $I_{\varphi\psi}$ contain no integer and Rf is bounded.

Lemma 3.4. *Let ρ be a non-negative C^∞ symmetric function with its support in $B(0,1)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho(x)dx = 1$, and let $\rho_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-d}\rho(x/\varepsilon)$. Define $f_\varepsilon = f * \rho_\varepsilon$. Then for a function ψ with $I_\psi \subset (0,1)$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|f - f_\varepsilon\|_{C^0} \le C\|f\|_{C^\psi}\psi(\varepsilon), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\|D^k f_\varepsilon\|_{C^0} \le C\|f\|_{C^\psi}\psi(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{-k}, \quad k \ge 1. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. (3.5) follows from

$$|f(x)-f_\varepsilon(x)| \le \|f\|_{C^\psi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\psi(\varepsilon|y|)\rho(y)dy \le c_1\|f\|_{C^\psi}\psi(\varepsilon).$$

In the last inequality we used the fact that $r^{-m_\psi/2}\psi(r)$ is almost increasing. Using $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}D^\gamma\rho(y)dy = 0$ for $|\gamma|\ge 1$, we can get (3.6) from

$$\begin{aligned}|D^\gamma f_\varepsilon(x)| &= \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(f(x-y)-f(x))D^\gamma\rho_\varepsilon(y)\,\mathrm{d}y\right| \\ &\le \varepsilon^{-k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|f(x-\varepsilon y)-f(x)||D^\gamma\rho(y)|\,\mathrm{d}y \\ &\le c_2\|f\|_{C^\psi}\frac{\psi(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|D^\gamma\rho(y)|\,\mathrm{d}y\end{aligned}$$

for every $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and $|\gamma| = k$. □

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose $I_\psi \subset (0,1)$ and $I_{\varphi\psi}\subset(0,1)\cup(1,2)$. If $f\in C^\psi$ and $\|Rf\|_{C^0}<\infty$, then $Rf\in C^{\varphi\psi}$ and there exists C not depending on f such that*

$$\|Rf\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \le C(\|f\|_{C^\psi}+\|Rf\|_{C^0}).$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.5 it is enough to show that $[[Rf]]_{C^{\varphi\psi}}$ is bounded by $\|f\|_{C^\psi}+\|Rf\|_{C^0}$. Since $|\Delta_h^2(Rf)(x)|\le 4\|Rf\|_{C^0}$ for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$, we may assume $|h|\le 1$. First we show

$$|\Delta_h^2(P_sf)(x)| \le c_1|h|^2\|f\|_{C^\psi}\frac{\psi(\varphi^{-1}(s))}{\varphi^{-1}(s)^2}. \tag{3.7}$$

By the Taylor's theorem, Theorem 3.3, and (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned}|\Delta_h^2(P_s(f-f_\varepsilon))(x)| &\le |h|^2\|D^2P_s(f-f_\varepsilon)\|_{C^0} \\ &\le \frac{c_2}{\varphi^{-1}(s)^2}|h|^2\|f-f_\varepsilon\|_{C^0} \\ &\le \frac{c_3}{\varphi^{-1}(s)^2}|h|^2\psi(\varepsilon)\|f\|_{C^\psi}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Since Δ_h^2 and P_s commute and P_s is a contraction semigroup, (3.6) implies

$$|\Delta_h^2(P_sf_\varepsilon)(x)| = |P_s(\Delta_h^2f_\varepsilon)(x)| \le \|\Delta_h^2f_\varepsilon\|_{C^0} \le c_4|h|^2\frac{\psi(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2}\|f\|_{C^\psi}. \tag{3.9}$$

Letting $\varepsilon = \varphi^{-1}(s)$ and combining with (3.8), we obtain (3.7).

Let σ be a small number such that $M_\varphi + M_\psi + 2\sigma < 2$. Using (3.7) and noting $M_\varphi+M_\psi<2$, we have that for $|h|<1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\varphi(|h|)}^1|\Delta_h^2(P_sf)(x)|ds &\le c_4|h|^2\|f\|_{C^\psi}\int_{\varphi(|h|)}^1\frac{\psi(\varphi^{-1}(s))}{\varphi^{-1}(s)^2}ds \\ &\le c_5|h|^2\|f\|_{C^\psi}\frac{\psi(|h|)}{|h|^2}\int_{\varphi(|h|)}^1\left(\frac{|h|}{\varphi^{-1}(s)}\right)^{2-M_\psi-\sigma}ds \\ &\le c_6\|f\|_{C^\psi}\psi(|h|)\int_{\varphi(|h|)}^1\left(\frac{\varphi(|h|)}{s}\right)^{(2-M_\psi-\sigma)/(M_\varphi+\sigma)}ds \\ &\le c_7\varphi(|h|)\psi(|h|)\|f\|_{C^\psi}\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Also the Hölder continuity of f gives

$$|\Delta_h^2(P_sf)(x)| = |P_s(\Delta_h^2f)(x)| \le \|\Delta_h^2f\|_{C^0} \le c_8\|f\|_{C^\psi}\psi(|h|),$$

and thus

$$\int_0^{\varphi(|h|)} |\Delta_h^2(P_s f)(x)| ds \leq c_8 \|f\|_{C^\psi} \varphi(|h|)\psi(|h|). \tag{3.11}$$

Since $|\Delta_h^2(P_s f)(x)| \leq \|D^2 P_s f\|_{C^0} |h|^2 \leq c_9 \varphi^{-1}(s)^{-2} \|f\|_{C^0}$ and $\int_1^\infty \varphi^{-1}(s)^{-2} ds < \infty$,

$$\int_1^\infty \left|\Delta_h^2(P_s f)(x)\right| ds \leq c_9 |h|^2 \|f\|_{C^0} \int_1^\infty \varphi^{-1}(s)^{-2} ds \leq c_{10} \varphi(|h|)\psi(|h|) \|f\|_{C^0}. \tag{3.12}$$

Adding (3.10), (3.11), and (3.12) we conclude

$$|\Delta_h^2(Rf)(x)| \leq c_{11} \|f\|_{C^\psi} \varphi(|h|)\psi(|h|).$$

□

Finally we consider the case when $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (2,3)$.

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose* $I_\psi \subset (0,1)$, *and* $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (2,3)$. *If* $f \in C^\psi$ *and* $\|Rf\|_{C^0} < \infty$, *then* $Rf \in C^{\varphi\psi}$ *and there exists* C *not depending on* f *such that*

$$\|Rf\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \leq C(\|f\|_{C^\psi} + \|Rf\|_{C^0}).$$

Proof. Since $I_\psi = [m_\psi, M_\psi] \subset (0,1)$ and $I_{\varphi\psi} = [m_\varphi + m_\psi, M_\varphi + M_\psi] \subset (2,3)$, necessarily $m_\varphi > 1$. Define $\bar\varphi(r) = r^{-1}\varphi(r)$ then $I_{\bar\varphi\psi} \subset (1,2)$. In view of Proposition 2.5 it suffices to show

$$[[DRf]]_{C^{\bar\varphi\psi}} \leq c_1 \|f\|_{C^\psi}. \tag{3.13}$$

Fix i and let $Q_s f(x) = D_i(P_s f)(x)$. From Theorem 3.3 we have

$$\|D^2 Q_s f\|_{C^0} \leq c_2 \varphi^{-1}(s)^{-3} \|f\|_{C^0}.$$

Note that Q_s is translation invariant. As the proof of Proposition 3.5 we assume $|h| \leq 1$. Analogously to (3.8) and (3.9),

$$|\Delta_h^2(Q_s(f - f_\varepsilon))(x)| \leq \frac{c_4}{\varphi^{-1}(s)^3} |h|^2 \psi(\varepsilon) \|f\|_{C^\psi}$$

and

$$|\Delta_h^2(Q_s f_\varepsilon)(x)| \leq |h|^2 \|D^2 Q_s f_\varepsilon\|_{C^0} \leq c_5 |h|^2 \|D^3 f_\varepsilon\|_{C^0} \leq c_6 |h|^2 \frac{\psi(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^3} \|f\|_{C^\psi}.$$

Taking $\varepsilon = \varphi^{-1}(s)$ we obtain

$$|\Delta_h^2(Q_s f)(x)| \leq c_6 |h|^2 \frac{\psi(\varphi^{-1}(s))}{\varphi^{-1}(s)^3} \|f\|_{C^\psi}.$$

Integrating the right hand side with respect to s over the interval $[\varphi(|h|), 1)$ yields $c_7 \bar\varphi(|h|)\psi(|h|)\|f\|_{C^\psi}$. On the other hand,

$$|\Delta_h^2(Q_s f)(x)| \leq c_8 \varphi^{-1}(s)^{-1} \|\Delta_h^2 f\|_{C^0} \leq 2c_8 \varphi^{-1}(s)^{-1} \psi(|h|) \|f\|_{C^\psi}.$$

and integrating this bound over the interval $(0, \varphi(|h|))$ yields $c_9 \bar\varphi(|h|)\psi(|h|)\|f\|_{C^\psi}$; we use $m_\varphi > 1$ here. Since $|\Delta_h^2 Q_s f(x)| \leq c_{10} |h|^2 \|f\|_{C^0} \varphi^{-1}(s)^{-3}$ and $\int_1^\infty \varphi^{-1}(s)^{-3} ds < \infty$,

$$\int_1^\infty |\Delta_h^2(Q_s f)(x)| ds \leq c_{10} |h|^2 \|f\|_{C^0} \int_1^\infty \varphi^{-1}(s)^{-3} ds \leq c_{11} \bar\varphi(|h|)\psi(|h|)\|f\|_{C^0}.$$

Therefore

$$|\Delta_h^2(D_i Rf)(x)| \leq c_{12} \bar\varphi(|h|)\psi(|h|)\|f\|_{C^\psi},$$

which yields (3.13). □

Now, the proof of Theorem 1.1 follows by the preceding propositions.

Proof. [Proof of Theorem 1.1] According to the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 the function u is an element of $C^{\varphi\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that u belongs to $C^2_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C^{\varphi\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and thus to the domain $\mathcal{D}(A)$ of the infinitesimal generator $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$ of the semigroup (P_t). Because we may convolve u with a mollifier ρ_ε like in Lemma 3.4. Then $u_\varepsilon = u * \rho_\varepsilon$ is a smooth function vanishing at infinity and satisfies the equation $\mathcal{L}_0 u_\varepsilon = f * \rho_\varepsilon$. We would then obtain the estimate claimed in Theorem 1.1 for u_ε. Since the three norms in this estimate converge for $\varepsilon \to 0$, the desired estimate for u would follow.

Recall that the infinitesimal generator $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$ of the semigroup (P_t) satisfies $Av = \mathcal{L}_0 v$ for every $v \in C^2_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{L}_0$ as in (3.1). Denote by $(R, \mathcal{D}(R))$ be the potential operator of (P_t), i.e.,

$$Rf = \lim_{t\to\infty} \int_0^t P_s f \, \mathrm{d}s \, .$$

Note that in general the potential operator is not identical with the zero-resolvent operator $(R_0, \mathcal{D}(R_0))$. However, the property that

$$\|P_t v\|_{C^0} \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty \qquad \text{for every } v \in C_\infty$$

from the translation invariance implies that $(R, \mathcal{D}(R))$ is densely defined and $R = R_0 = -A^{-1}$ [BF75, Proposition 11.9]. Hence $u = -Rf$ and we can apply Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.6 from above. □

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 1.2 using Theorem 1.1 and a well-known perturbation technique. Let us first establish some auxiliary results.

We show that (1.5) implies

$$\int_r^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{s\varphi(s)} \leq \frac{C}{\varphi(r)} \qquad (r > 0) \, , \tag{4.1}$$

where C is some positive constant. The second inequality in (1.5) with $\lambda = s/r$ implies

$$\varphi(s) \geq a_1 (s/r)^{2\delta_1} \varphi(r) \, .$$

The above observation (4.1) now follows from

$$\int_r^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{s\varphi(s)} \leq a_1^{-1} \frac{r^{2\delta_1}}{\varphi(r)} \int_r^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{s^{1+2\delta_1}} = \frac{1}{2a_1\delta_1\varphi(r)} \, .$$

Let $B(x, r)$ denote the ball of radius r centered at x. Let $\bar\eta \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a cut-off function which equals 1 on $B(0,1)$, equals 0 on $B(0,2)^c$ and satisfies $\bar\eta \in [0,1]$. Let $\eta_{r,x_0}(x) = \bar\eta((x - x_0)/r)$. When there is no ambiguity we write η instead of η_{r,x_0}.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume $I_\psi \subset (0,1)$, $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (0,1) \cup (1,2) \cup (2,3)$, $u \in C^{\varphi\psi}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $f \in C^\psi(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Suppose that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $r > 0$ and $c_1 \geq 1$ depending on ε such that*

$$\|u\eta_{r,x_0}\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \leq c_1(\|f\|_{C^\psi} + \|u\|_{C^0}) + \varepsilon\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \tag{4.2}$$

for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then there exists a constant C such that

$$\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \leq C(\|f\|_{C^\psi} + \|u\|_{C^0}).$$

Proof. First, we consider the case $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (0,1) \cup (1,2)$. Set $\varepsilon = 1/2$ and choose r and c_1 satisfying (4.2). For any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, if $|h| < r$ then $\Delta_h^2 u(x_0) = \Delta_h^2(u\eta_{r,x_0})(x_0)$, and (4.2) yields

$$|\Delta_h^2 u(x_0)| \leq \|u\eta_{r,x_0}\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \varphi(|h|)\psi(|h|) \leq \left(c_1\|f\|_{C^\psi} + c_1\|u\|_{C^0} + \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \right) \varphi(|h|)\psi(|h|)$$

On the other hand, if $r \le |h| \le 1$ then the fact that $\varphi(s)\psi(s) \ge c_2$ for any $s \in [r,1]$ yields

$$|\Delta_h^2 u(x_0)| \le 4c_2^{-1}\|u\|_{C^0}\varphi(|h|)\psi(|h|).$$

Combining the above two inequalities we obtain

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\sup_{0<|h|\le 1}\frac{|\Delta_h^2 u(x)|}{\varphi(|h|)\psi(|h|)} \le c_1\|f\|_{C^\psi} + c_1(1+4c_2^{-1})\|u\|_{C^0} + \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \le c_3\left(\|f\|_{C^\psi} + \|u\|_{C^0}\right).$$

Now we consider the case when $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (2,3)$. Let $\bar\varphi(r) = r^{-1}\varphi(r)$. By the definition of $C^{\varphi\psi}$ and Proposition 2.5 it is enough to show that

$$\|Du\|_{C^0} + [[Du]]_{C^{\bar\varphi\psi}} \le c_4(\|f\|_{C^\psi} + \|u\|_{C^0}).$$

For $\varepsilon = 1/4$ choose r satisfying (4.2). We use the same argument above to obtain that if $|h| < r$ then

$$|\Delta_h^2(Du)(x_0)| \le \left(c_5\|f\|_{C^\psi} + c_5\|u\|_{C^0} + \frac{1}{4}\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}\right)\bar\varphi(|h|)\psi(|h|)$$

for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. On the other hand, if $r \le |h| \le 1$ then the fact that $\bar\varphi(s)\psi(s) \ge c_6$ for any $s \in [r,1]$ yields

$$|\Delta_h^2(Du)(x_0)| \le 4c_6^{-1}\|Du\|_{C^0}\bar\varphi(|h|)\psi(|h|).$$

Combining above two inequalities and we get

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\sup_{0<|h|\le 1}\frac{|\Delta_h^2(Du)(x)|}{\bar\varphi(|h|)\psi(|h|)} \le c_7\left(\|f\|_{C^\psi} + \|u\|_{C^0} + \|Du\|_{C^0}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}$$

By Proposition 2.6 we have

$$\|Du\|_{C^0} \le c_8\|u\|_{C^0} + (4(1+c_7))^{-1}\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}},$$

which implies

$$\|Du\|_{C^0} + \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\sup_{0<|h|\le 1}\frac{|\Delta_h^2(Du)(x)|}{\bar\varphi(|h|)\psi(|h|)} \le c_9\left(\|f\|_{C^\psi} + \|u\|_{C^0}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}.$$

Therefore we obtain the desired estimate

$$\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \le c_{10}(\|f\|_{C^\psi} + \|u\|_{C^0}).$$

□

Before proving the main theorem, we give an auxiliary inequality, which we will often apply.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\Psi : (0,1] \to (0,\infty)$ be a function with $M_\varphi < m_\Psi$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $0 < r \le 1$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{\Psi(|h|\wedge r)}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)}\,\mathrm{d}h \le C\frac{\Psi(r)}{\varphi(r)}. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. Let $\sigma = (m_\Psi - M_\varphi)/3 > 0$. By the definition of I_φ and I_Ψ, there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that for $0 < |h| < r$,

$$\frac{\Psi(|h|)}{\varphi(|h|)} \le c_1\frac{(|h|/r)^{m_\Psi-\sigma}\Psi(r)}{(|h|/r)^{M_\varphi+\sigma}\varphi(r)} \le c_1(|h|/r)^\sigma\frac{\Psi(r)}{\varphi(r)}.$$

It follows that

$$\int_{|h|<r}\frac{\Psi(|h|)}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)}\,\mathrm{d}h \le c_1\frac{\Psi(r)}{\varphi(r)}\int_{|h|<r}\frac{(|h|/r)^\sigma}{|h|^d}\,\mathrm{d}h \le c_2\frac{\Psi(r)}{\varphi(r)}.$$

Combining this with (4.1), we get the result. □

Let H be a function defined by

$$H(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(u(x+h)-u(x))(\eta(x+h)-\eta(x))\frac{a(x,h)}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)}\,\mathrm{d}h.$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\varepsilon>0$ be a small constant. Assume that condition (1.11) is satisfied. If $u\in C^{\varphi\psi}$, then there exists a constant $C=C(r,\varepsilon)>0$ such that*

$$\|H\|_{C^\psi}\le C\|u\|_{C^0}+\varepsilon\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}.$$

Proof. Observe that

$$|\Delta_h u(x)|\le\begin{cases}2\|u\|_{C^0}, & \text{if } I_{\varphi\psi}\subset(0,1),\\ 2(\|u\|_{C^0}+\|Du\|_{C^0})(|h|\wedge 1), & \text{if } I_{\varphi\psi}\subset(1,2)\cup(2,3),\end{cases}\tag{4.4}$$

and

$$|\Delta_h\eta(x)|\le\begin{cases}2\|\bar\eta\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}(\varphi\psi)\left(\frac{|h|}{r}\wedge 1\right), & \text{if } I_{\varphi\psi}\subset(0,1),\\ 2(\|\bar\eta\|_{C^0}+\|D\bar\eta\|_{C^0})\left(\frac{|h|}{r}\wedge 1\right), & \text{if } I_{\varphi\psi}\subset(1,2)\cup(2,3).\end{cases}\tag{4.5}$$

When $I_{\varphi\psi}\subset(0,1)$, using (4.4) and (4.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned}|H(x)|&\le\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\Delta_h u(x)||\Delta_h\eta(x)|\frac{|a(x,h)|}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)}\,\mathrm{d}h\\&\le 4\|u\|_{C^0}\|\bar\eta\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\varphi\psi)\left(\frac{|h|}{r}\wedge 1\right)\frac{\Lambda_2}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)}\,\mathrm{d}h\\&\le\frac{c_1}{\varphi(r)}\|u\|_{C^0}.\end{aligned}$$

We used (4.3) with $\Psi(t)=(\varphi\psi)(t/r)$ in the last inequality. When $I_{\varphi\psi}\subset(1,2)\cup(2,3)$, by (4.4), (4.5), and (4.3) with $\Psi(t)=(t/r)^2$, we get

$$|H(x)|\le\frac{c_2}{\varphi(r)}(\|u\|_{C^0}+\|Du\|_{C^0}).$$

By Proposition 2.6, we can find a constant $c_3=c_3(r,\varepsilon)>0$ such that

$$\|H\|_{C^0}\le c_3(r,\varepsilon)\|u\|_{C^0}+\varepsilon\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}},$$

whenever $I_{\varphi\psi}\subset(0,1)\cup(1,2)\cup(2,3)$.

Now, we consider $|\Delta_k H(x)|$ in order to estimate the ψ-Hölder seminorm of H. We may assume $|k|<r$ because $|\Delta_k H(x)|/\psi(|k|)\le c_4\|H\|_{C^0}/\psi(r)$ otherwise. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_k H(x)&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Delta_k\Delta_h u(x)\,\Delta_h\eta(x)\,a(x+k,h)\,\frac{\mathrm{d}h}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)}\\&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Delta_h u(x)\,\Delta_k\Delta_h\eta(x)\,a(x+k,h)\,\frac{\mathrm{d}h}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)}\\&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Delta_h u(x)\,\Delta_h\eta(x)\,\Delta_k(a(\cdot,h))(x)\,\frac{\mathrm{d}h}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)}\\&=:I_1+I_2+I_3.\end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to show that

$$|I_1|+|I_2|+|I_3|\le\psi(|k|)(c_5\|u\|_{C^0}+\varepsilon\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}})\tag{4.6}$$

for some constant $c_5=c_5(r,\varepsilon)>0$.

For $|I_1|$, it follows from the facts $u \in C^{\varphi\psi}$ and $\eta \in C^\infty$ that

$$|\Delta_k \Delta_h u(x)\, \Delta_h \eta(x)| \le c_6 \cdot \begin{cases} \|u\|_{C^\psi} \psi(|k|) \left(\frac{|h|}{r} \wedge 1 \right), & \text{if } I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (0,1), \\ \|u\|_{C^\sigma} |k| \, \frac{\sigma(|h| \wedge 1)}{|h| \wedge 1} \left(\frac{|h|}{r} \wedge 1 \right), & \text{if } I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (1,2), \\ (\|Du\|_{C^0} + \|D^2 u\|_{C^0}) |k| (|h| \wedge 1) \left(\frac{|h|}{r} \wedge 1 \right), & \text{if } I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (2,3), \end{cases}$$

for some constant $c_6 > 0$, where σ is a function on $(0,1]$ defined by

$$\sigma(t) = t^{(1 \vee M_\varphi + m_\varphi + m_\psi)/2}.$$

Note that the exponent of σ is greater than both 1 and M_φ. Since r is less than one, we can apply Lemma 4.2 with $\Psi_1(t) = t/r$, $\Psi_2(t) = \sigma(t/r)$, and $\Psi_3(t) = (t/r)^2$ for each cases. Then we have

$$|I_1| \le \frac{c_7 \Lambda}{\varphi(r)} \cdot \begin{cases} \|u\|_{C^\psi} \psi(|k|), & \text{if } I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (0,1), \\ \|u\|_{C^\sigma} |k|, & \text{if } I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (1,2), \\ (\|Du\|_{C^0} + \|D^2 u\|_{C^0}) |k|, & \text{if } I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (2,3), \end{cases}$$

for some constant $c_7 > 0$. By the fact $|k| \le c_8 \psi(|k|)$ and Proposition 2.6, there exists a constant $c_9 = c_9(r, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$|I_1| \le \psi(|k|)(c_9(r,\varepsilon)\|u\|_{C^0} + \varepsilon \|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}})$$

whenever $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (0,1) \cup (1,2) \cup (2,3)$.

For $|I_2| + |I_3|$, we first consider the case $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (0,1)$. Since $|\Delta_k \Delta_h \eta(x)| \le c_{10} \left(\frac{|h|}{r} \wedge 1 \right) \psi \left(\frac{|k|}{r} \right)$, we have

$$|\Delta_h u(x) \Delta_k \Delta_h \eta(x) a(x+k, h)| \le 2 c_{10} \Lambda_2 \|u\|_{C^0} \left(\frac{|h|}{r} \wedge 1 \right) \psi \left(\frac{|k|}{r} \right). \tag{4.7}$$

Similarly, the facts that $|\Delta_h \eta(x)| \le c_{11} \left(\frac{|h|}{r} \wedge 1 \right)$ and (1.10) implies

$$|\Delta_h u(x) \Delta_h \eta(x) \Delta_k (a(\cdot, h))(x)| \le 2 c_{11} \Lambda_3 \|u\|_{C^0} \left(\frac{|h|}{r} \wedge 1 \right) \psi(|k|). \tag{4.8}$$

By integrating (4.7), (4.8), and then applying Lemma 4.2 with $\Psi(t) = t/r$, we can obtain

$$|I_2| + |I_3| \le \frac{c_{12}}{\varphi(r)} \|u\|_{C^0} \psi(|k|)$$

for some constant $c_{12} > 0$. When $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (1,2) \cup (2,3)$, we just use

$$|\Delta_h u(x)| \le 2(\|u\|_{C^0} + \|Du\|_{C^0})(|h| \wedge 1),$$

and apply Lemma 4.2 with $\Psi(t) = (t/r)^2$. Finally, we use Proposition 2.6 to obtain (4.6) whenever $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (0,1) \cup (1,2) \cup (2,3)$. □

Let x_0 be a fixed point in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $b(x,h) = a(x,h) - a(x_0,h)$. When $M_\varphi < 1$, we define $\mathcal{B}v(x)$ by

$$\mathcal{B}v(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (v(x+h) - v(x)) \frac{b(x,h)}{|h|^d \varphi(|h|)} \, \mathrm{d}h.$$

When $M_\varphi \ge 1$, we define $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}v(x)$ by adding a gradient term as

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}v(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (v(x+h) - v(x) - \nabla v(x) \cdot h \mathbb{1}_{\{|h| \le 1\}}) \frac{b(x,h)}{|h|^d \varphi(|h|)} \, \mathrm{d}h.$$

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Assume $M_\varphi < 1$, $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (0,1) \cup (1,2)$, and that condition (1.11) is satisfied. Then there exists $r = r(\varepsilon) \in (0, 1/4)$ such that for every $v \in C^{\varphi\psi}$ with its support in $B(x_0, 2r)$,*

$$\|\mathcal{B}v\|_{C^\psi} \le C\|v\|_{C^0} + \varepsilon\|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}$$

for $C = C(\varepsilon) > 0$

Proof. Let v be a function with its support in $B(x_0, 2r)$ for $0 < r < 1/4$. We first obtain a bound of the C^0-norm of $\mathcal{B}v$. If $x \notin B(x_0, 3r)$, then $v(x) = 0$ and $v(x+h) = 0$ for $|h| \le r$. Thus (4.1) yields

$$|\mathcal{B}v(x)| = \left| \int_{|h|>r} v(x+h) \frac{b(x,h)}{|h|^d \varphi(|h|)} \,\mathrm{d}h \right| \le \|v\|_{C^0} \int_{|h|>r} \frac{2\Lambda_2 \,\mathrm{d}h}{|h|^d \varphi(|h|)} \le \frac{c_1}{\varphi(r)} \|v\|_{C^0}. \tag{4.9}$$

Let us look at the case $x \in B(x_0, 3r)$. Note that, in this case, $|b(x,h)| \le c_2 \Lambda_3 \psi(r)$ for every $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Observe that

$$|\Delta_h v(x)| \le \begin{cases} 2\|v\|_{C^\sigma} \sigma(|h| \wedge 1), & \text{if } I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (0,1), \\ 2(\|v\|_{C^0} + \|Dv\|_{C^0})(|h| \wedge 1), & \text{if } I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (1,2), \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

where σ is a function on $(0,1]$ defined by

$$\sigma(t) = t^{(M_\varphi + m_\varphi + m_\psi)/2}.$$

Note that $M_\varphi < m_\sigma = (M_\varphi + m_\varphi + m_\psi)/2$. Applying Lemma 4.2 to its integration with $\Psi(t) = \sigma(t)$ when $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (0,1)$, and with $\Psi(t) = t$ when $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (1,2)$, we get

$$|\mathcal{B}v(x)| \le c_3 \psi(r) \cdot \begin{cases} \|v\|_{C^\sigma}, & \text{if } I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (0,1), \\ \|v\|_{C^0} + \|Dv\|_{C^0}, & \text{if } I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (1,2). \end{cases} \tag{4.11}$$

It follows from (4.9) and Proposition 2.6 that

$$\|\mathcal{B}v\|_{C^0} \le c_4 \|v\|_{C^0} + (\varepsilon/5)\|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \tag{4.12}$$

for some constant $c_4 = c_4(r, \varepsilon) > 0$.

In the next step we estimate the ψ-Hölder seminorm of $\mathcal{B}v$. If $r/2 < |k| \le 1$, then (4.9) and (4.11) yield

$$\frac{|\Delta_k(\mathcal{B}v)(x)|}{\psi(|k|)} \le \frac{c_5 \|v\|_{C^0}}{\varphi(r)\psi(r/2)} + \frac{c_5 \psi(r)}{\psi(r/2)} \cdot \begin{cases} \|v\|_{C^\sigma}, & \text{if } I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (0,1), \\ (\|v\|_{C^0} + \|Dv\|_{C^0}), & \text{if } I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (1,2), \end{cases}$$

By the fact $\psi(r) \le c_6 \psi(r/2)$ and Proposition 2.6, the quotient $\frac{|\Delta_k \mathcal{B}(x)|}{\psi(|k|)}$ for $r/2 < |k| \le 1$ is bounded by

$$c_7(r, \varepsilon)\|v\|_{C^0} + (\varepsilon/5)\|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}. \tag{4.13}$$

Now consider the case $|k| \le r/2$. First suppose $x \notin B(x_0, 3r)$. Then $v(x+k) = v(x) = 0$ and $v(x+k+h) = v(x+h) = 0$ for $|h| \le r/2$. Thus, the inequality $|\Delta_k v(x+h)| \le \|v\|_{C^\psi} \psi(|k|)$, (4.1) and (1.10) yield

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_k(\mathcal{B}v)(x)| &= \left| \int_{|h|>r/2} (v(x+k+h)b(x+k,h) - v(x+h)b(x,h)) \frac{\mathrm{d}h}{|h|^d \varphi(|h|)} \right| \\ &\le \int_{|h|>r/2} |\Delta_k v(x+h)| \frac{2\Lambda_2 \,\mathrm{d}h}{|h|^d \varphi(|h|)} + \int_{|h|>r/2} |v(x+h)| \frac{|\Delta_k(b(\cdot,h))(x)|}{|h|^d \varphi(|h|)} \,\mathrm{d}h \\ &\le 2(\Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3)(\|v\|_{C^\psi} + \|v\|_{C^0})\psi(|k|) \int_{|h|>r/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}h}{|h|^d \varphi(|h|)} \\ &\le \frac{c_8}{\varphi(r/2)} \|v\|_{C^\psi} \psi(|k|) \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.6 we get

$$|\Delta_k(\mathcal{B}v)(x)| \le (c_9(r,\varepsilon)\|v\|_{C^0} + (\varepsilon/5)\|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}})\psi(|k|). \tag{4.14}$$

Now suppose $x \in B(x_0, 3r)$. We decompose the integral into two parts as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_k(\mathcal{B}v)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_k\Delta_h v(x)\frac{b(x+k,h)}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)}\,\mathrm{d}h + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_h v(x)\frac{\Delta_k(b(\cdot,h))(x)}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)}\,\mathrm{d}h \\ &=: I_4 + I_5\,.\end{aligned}$$

For I_4, we observe that $x+k \in B(x_0,4r)$ and $|b(x+k,h)| \le c_{10}\psi(r)$ since $|k| \le r/2$. When $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (0,1)$, by the inequality

$$|\Delta_k\Delta_h v(x)| \le 2\|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}(\varphi\psi)(|h| \wedge |k|), \tag{4.15}$$

and (4.3) with $\Psi(t) = (\varphi\psi)(t)$, we obtain

$$|I_4| \le 2c_{10}\psi(r)\|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(\varphi\psi)(|h| \wedge |k|)}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)}\,\mathrm{d}h \le c_{11}\psi(r)\|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}\psi(|k|).$$

If $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (1,2)$, the following inequality is used instead of (4.15),

$$|\Delta_k\Delta_h v(x)| \le 2 \cdot \begin{cases} \|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}|h|\frac{(\varphi\psi)(|k|)}{|k|}, & \text{if } |h| \le |k|, \\ \|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}|k|\frac{(\varphi\psi)(|h|)}{|h|}, & \text{if } |k| < |h| \le 1, \\ \|v\|_{C^{\psi}}\psi(|k|), & \text{if } |h| > 1. \end{cases}$$

By the integration and Proposition 2.6 we obtain

$$|I_4| \le c_{12}\psi(r)(\|v\|_{C^0} + \|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}})\psi(|k|), \tag{4.16}$$

whenever $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (0,1) \cup (1,2)$.

Since $|\Delta_k(b(\cdot,h))(x)| \le 2\Lambda\psi(|k|)$ for every $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, (4.10) and the continued argument yields

$$|I_5| \le (c_{13}\|v\|_{C^0} + (\varepsilon/5)\|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}})\psi(|k|), \tag{4.17}$$

whenever $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (0,1) \cup (1,2)$. Combining (4.12), (4.13), (4.14), (4.16) and (4.17), we have

$$\|\mathcal{B}v\|_{C^{\psi}} \le c_{14}(r,\varepsilon)\|v\|_{C^0} + c_{12}\psi(r)\|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} + (4\varepsilon/5)\|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}$$

Choosing r such that $c_{12}\psi(r) \le \varepsilon/5$, we can obtain the result. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Assume $M_\varphi \ge 1$, $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (1,2) \cup (2,3)$, and the condition (1.11) is satisfied. In the case $1 \in I_\varphi$ we further assume $a(x,h) = a(x,-h)$ for all $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then there exists $r = r(\varepsilon) \in (0,1/4)$ such that for every $v \in C^{\varphi\psi}$ with its support in $B(x_0,2r)$,*

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}v\|_{C^{\psi}} \le C\|v\|_{C^0} + \varepsilon\|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}$$

for $C = C(\varepsilon) > 0$.

Proof. For the C^0-norm of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}v$, the only difference from the proof of Lemma 4.4 is that we replace (4.10) with

$$|\Delta_h v(x) - \nabla v(x)\cdot h\mathbb{1}_{\{|h|\le 1\}}| \le \begin{cases} 2\|v\|_{C^{\tilde\sigma}}\tilde\sigma(|h| \wedge 1), & \text{if } I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (1,2), \\ 2(\|v\|_{C^0} + \|D^2v\|_{C^0})(|h|^2 \wedge 1), & \text{if } I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (2,3), \end{cases} \tag{4.18}$$

where $\tilde\sigma$ is a function on $(0,1]$ defined by

$$\tilde\sigma(t) = t^{(M_\varphi + m_\varphi + v_\psi)/2}.$$

Then we have from (4.2) and Proposition 2.6 that

$$\|\mathcal{B}v\|_{C^0} \le c_1\|v\|_{C^0} + (\varepsilon/5)\|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}$$

for some constant $c_1 = c_1(r,\varepsilon) > 0$.

For the ψ-Hölder seminorm of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}v$, firstly we can use the inequality (4.13) without any change. We can also use (4.14) as it is, because $\nabla v(x+k) = \nabla v(x) = 0$ for $|k| \le r/2$ and $x \notin B(x_0, 3r)$. Now suppose $x \in B(x_0, 3r)$. This implies $|b(x+k,h)| \le c_2\psi(r)$ for any $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $|k| \le r/2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_k(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}v)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Delta_k\Delta_h v(x) - \Delta_k(\nabla v)(x)\cdot h\mathbb{1}_{\{|h|\le 1\}})b(x+k,h)\frac{\mathrm{d}h}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Delta_h v(x) - \nabla v(x)\cdot h\mathbb{1}_{\{|h|\le 1\}})\Delta_k(b(\cdot,h))(x)\frac{\mathrm{d}h}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)} \\ &= I_6 + I_7.\end{aligned}$$

If $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (1,2)$, then we have

$$|\Delta_k\Delta_h v(x) - \Delta_k(\nabla v)(x)\cdot h\mathbb{1}_{\{|h|\le 1\}}| \le 2\cdot \begin{cases} \|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}(\varphi\psi)(|h|), & \text{if } |h| \le |k|, \\ \|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}|h|\frac{(\varphi\psi)(|k|)}{|k|}, & \text{if } |k| < |h| \le 1, \\ \|v\|_{C^{\psi}}\psi(|k|), & \text{if } |h| > 1. \end{cases} \tag{4.19}$$

Taking the integration, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|I_6| &\le \int_{|h|\le|k|} 2\|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}(\varphi\psi)(|h|)\frac{c_2\psi(r)}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)}\,\mathrm{d}h \\ &\quad + \int_{|k|<|h|\le 1} 2\|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}\frac{|h|(\varphi\psi)(|k|)}{|k|}\cdot\frac{c_2\psi(r)}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)}\,\mathrm{d}h \\ &\quad + \int_{|h|>1} 2\|v\|_{C^{\psi}}\psi(|k|)\frac{c_2\psi(r)}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)}\,\mathrm{d}h \\ &\le c_3\psi(r)(\|v\|_{C^\psi} + \|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}})\psi(|k|).\end{aligned}$$

For the case $1 \in I_\psi$, the additional assumption that $a(x,h) = a(x,-h)$ for $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ allows for the integrand over the region $\{h \in \mathbb{R}^d : |k| < |h| \le 1\}$ to be reduced to

$$|\Delta_k\Delta_h v(x)| \le 2\|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}\frac{|k|(\varphi\psi)(|h|)}{|h|}.$$

If $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (2,3)$, then we just replace (4.19) with

$$|\Delta_k\Delta_h v(x) - \Delta_k(\nabla v)(x)\cdot h\mathbb{1}_{\{|h|\le 1\}}| \le 2\cdot \begin{cases} \|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}\frac{|h|^2(\varphi\psi)(|k|)}{|k|^2}, & \text{if } |h| \le |k|, \\ \|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}\frac{|k|(\varphi\psi)(|h|)}{|h|}, & \text{if } |k| < |h| \le 1, \\ \|v\|_{C^{\psi}}\psi(|k|), & \text{if } |h| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence applying Proposition 2.6 to $\|\cdot\|_{C^\psi}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}$ implies

$$|I_6| \le c_4\psi(r)(\|v\|_{C^0} + \|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}})\psi(|k|),$$

whenever $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (1,2) \cup (2,3)$.

For I_7, we use $|\Delta_k(b(\cdot,h))(x)| \le 2\Lambda_3\psi(|k|)$ and (4.18) to get

$$|I_7| \le (c_5\|v\|_{C^0} + (\varepsilon/5)\|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}})\psi(|k|),$$

for some constant $c_5 = c_5(r,\varepsilon) > 0$. By summing up the above result and choosing r such that $c_4\psi(r) \le \varepsilon/5$, we get the result. □

Remark. In the proof of Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5, the fact that $b(x,h) = a(x,h) - a(x_0,h)$ is only used to estimate I_4 and I_6. It allows us to find r depending on ε. If we fix ε as a number, then we can replace $b(x,h)$ with $a(x,h)$ and obtain

$$\|\mathcal{L}\eta\|_{C^\psi} \le C. \tag{4.20}$$

for a constant C depending on r.

We are finally ready to prove our main result.

Proof. [Proof of Theorem 1.2] By Proposition 4.1 it is enough to show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist positive constants r and c_1 such that for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\|u\eta_{r,x_0}\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \le c_1\|f\|_{C^\psi} + c_1\|u\|_{C^0} + \varepsilon\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} . \tag{4.21}$$

First we consider the case $M_\varphi < 1$. We define a freezing operator

$$\mathcal{L}_0 u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_h u(x) \frac{a(x_0,h)}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)}\,\mathrm{d}h\,,$$

and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_0$. Let $v(x) = u(x)\eta_{r,x_0}(x)$. As we mentioned at the beginning of this section we write η instead of η_{r,x_0}. Observe that the identity

$$\Delta_h(u\eta)(x) = \eta(x)\Delta_h u(x) + u(x)\Delta_h\eta(x) + \Delta_h u(x)\Delta_h\eta(x),$$

yields

$$\mathcal{L}v(x) = \eta(x)\mathcal{L}u(x) + u(x)\mathcal{L}\eta(x) + H(x),$$

where H is defined by

$$H(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_h u(x)\Delta_h\eta(x)\frac{a(x,h)}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)}\,\mathrm{d}h.$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{L}_0 v(x) = \eta(x)f(x) + u(x)\mathcal{L}\eta(x) + H(x) - \mathcal{B}v(x).$$

Theorem 1.1 now implies that with some constant $c_2 \ge 1$

$$\|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \le c_2(\|\eta f + u\mathcal{L}\eta + H - \mathcal{B}v\|_{C^\psi} + \|v\|_{C^0}).$$

Choose $r > 0$ from Lemma 4.4 such that

$$\|\mathcal{B}v\|_{C^\psi} \le c_3\|v\|_{C^0} + (2c_2)^{-1}\|v\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}.$$

It is obvious that $\|\eta f\|_{C^\psi} \le c_4\|f\|_{C^\psi}$. It follows from (4.20) and Proposition 2.6 that

$$\|u\mathcal{L}\eta\|_{C^\psi} \le c_6\|u\|_{C^0} + (4c_2)^{-1}\varepsilon\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}.$$

Finally, (4.3) implies that for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $c_7 = c_7(r,\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\|H\|_{C^\psi} \le c_7\|u\|_{C^0} + (4c_2)^{-1}\varepsilon\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}.$$

Hence (4.21) holds true. For the case $M_\varphi \ge 1$, we denote a freezing operator by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Delta_h u(x) - \nabla u(x)\cdot h\mathbb{1}_{\{|h|\le 1\}})\frac{a(x_0,h)}{|h|^d\varphi(|h|)}\,\mathrm{d}h,$$

and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{L} - \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_0$. Then we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_0 v(x) = \eta(x)f(x) + u(x)\mathcal{L}\eta(x) + H(x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}v(x).$$

Using Lemma 4.5 instead of Lemma 4.4, we get the result from the same argument above. □

5. CONTINUITY OF $\mathcal{L}$

In this section we provide the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof. [Proof of Theorem 1.3] We first consider the case $M_\varphi < 1$ that $\mathcal{L}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(x+h) - u(x)) \frac{a(x,h)}{|h|^d \varphi(|h|)} \,\mathrm{d}h.$$

We observe that

$$|\Delta_h u(x)| \le 2\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \begin{cases} (\varphi\psi)(|h| \wedge 1), & I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (0,1), \\ |h| \wedge 1, & I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (1,2). \end{cases}$$

It follows from Lemma 4.2 that $|\mathcal{L}u(x)| \le c_1 \|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For the seminorm of $\mathcal{L}u$, we know that

$$\Delta_k(\mathcal{L}u)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_k \Delta_h u(x) \frac{a(x+k,h)}{|h|^d \varphi(|h|)} \,\mathrm{d}h + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_h u(x) \frac{\Delta_k(a(\cdot,h))(x)}{|h|^d \varphi(|h|)} \,\mathrm{d}h.$$

For the case $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (0,1)$, we have

$$|\Delta_k \Delta_h u(x)| \le 2\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} (\varphi\psi)(|h| \wedge |k|),$$

and, if $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (1,2)$, then

$$|\Delta_k \Delta_h u(x)| \le 4\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \cdot \begin{cases} \frac{(\varphi\psi)(|k|)}{|k|} |h|, & |h| \le |k|, \\ \frac{(\varphi\psi)(|h|)}{|h|} |k|, & |k| < |h| \le 1, \\ \psi(|k|), & |h| > 1. \end{cases}$$

We used Proposition 2.6 with the assumption in the last case. By integrating the above terms with respect to h and using assumption (1.10) and Lemma 4.2, we get

$$|\Delta_k(\mathcal{L}u)(x)| \le c_2 \|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \psi(|k|)$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $|k| \le 1$, which implies $[\mathcal{L}u]_{C^{0;\psi}} \le c_2 \|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}}$.

For the case $M_\varphi \ge 1$, the operator that we consider is given by

$$\mathcal{L}u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u(x+h) - u(x) - \nabla u(x) \cdot h \mathbb{1}_{\{|h| \le 1\}}) \frac{a(x,h)}{|h|^d \varphi(|h|)} \,\mathrm{d}h.$$

When $1 \notin I_\varphi$, similarly to the above case, we only need to observe that

$$|\Delta_h u(x) - \nabla u(x) \cdot h \mathbb{1}_{\{|h| \le 1\}}| \le 2\|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \cdot \begin{cases} (\varphi\psi)(|h| \wedge 1), & I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (1,2), \\ (|h| \wedge 1)^2, & I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (2,3), \end{cases}$$

and if $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (1,2)$,

$$|\Delta_k \Delta_h u(x) - \Delta_k \nabla u(x) \cdot h \mathbb{1}_{\{|h| \le 1\}}| \le c_3 \|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \cdot \begin{cases} \frac{(\varphi\psi)(|h| \wedge |k|)}{|h| \wedge |k|} |h|, & |h| \le 1, \\ \psi(|k|), & |h| > 1, \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

and if $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (2,3)$,

$$|\Delta_k \Delta_h u(x) - \Delta_k \nabla u(x) \cdot h \mathbb{1}_{\{|h| \le 1\}}| \le c_4 \|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \cdot \begin{cases} \frac{(\varphi\psi)(|k|)}{|k|^2} |h|^2, & |h| \le |k|, \\ \frac{(\varphi\psi)(|h|)}{|h|^2} |h||k|, & |k| < |h| \le 1, \\ \psi(|k|), & |h| > 1. \end{cases}$$

When $1 \in I_\varphi$, it is easily shown $I_{\varphi\psi} \subset (1,2)$, and we can replace the indicator function $\mathbb{1}_{\{|h|\le 1\}}$ in (5.1) with $\mathbb{1}_{\{|h|\le |k|\}}$ from the symmetry of $h \mapsto a(x,h)$. Thus we replace (5.1) with

$$|\Delta_k \Delta_h u(x) - \Delta_k \nabla u(x) \cdot h \mathbb{1}_{\{|h|\le 1\}}| \le c_3 \|u\|_{C^{\varphi\psi}} \cdot \begin{cases} (\varphi\psi)(|h|), & |h| \le |k|, \\ \frac{(\varphi\psi)(|h|)}{|h|}|k|, & |k| < |h| \le 1, \\ \psi(|k|), & |h| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Calculations similar to the case $M_\varphi < 1$ give rise to the result. □

References

[Bas09] R. F. Bass, *Regularity results for stable-like operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **257** (2009), no. 8, 2693–2722. MR 2555009 (2010k:47099)

[BF75] C. Berg and G. Forst, *Potential theory on locally compact abelian groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1975, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 87. MR 0481057 (58 #1204)

[BGT89] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie, and J. L. Teugels, *Regular variation*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 27, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989. MR 1015093 (90i:26003)

[BS56] N. K. Bari and S. B. Stečkin, *Best approximations and differential properties of two conjugate functions*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. **5** (1956), 483–522. MR 0080797 (18,303e)

[DK13] H. Dong and D. Kim, *Schauder estimates for a class of non-local elliptic equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **33** (2013), no. 6, 2319–2347. MR 3007688

[FL06] W. Farkas and H.-G. Leopold, *Characterisations of function spaces of generalised smoothness*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **185** (2006), no. 1, 1–62. MR 2179581 (2008j:46024)

[Gol72] M. L. Gol′dman, *On the inclusion of generalized hölder classes*, Mathematical notes of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR **12** (1972), no. 3, 626–631.

[GT83] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 224, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983. MR 737190 (86c:35035)

[JX14] T. Jin and J. Xiong, *Schauder estimates for nonlocal fully nonlinear equations*, http://arxiv.org/pdf/1405.0758v3, 2014.

[KK15] I. Kim and K.-H. Kim, *A Hölder regularity theory for a class of non-local elliptic equations related to subordinate Brownian motions*, Pot. Anal. (2015), DOI 10.1007/s11118-015-9490-5.

[KKK13] I. Kim, K.-H. Kim, and P. Kim, *Parabolic Littlewood-Paley inequality for $\phi(-\Delta)$-type operators and applications to stochastic integro-differential equations*, Adv. Math. **249** (2013), 161–203. MR 3116570

[KL87] G. A. Kaljabin and P. I. Lizorkin, *Spaces of functions of generalized smoothness*, Math. Nachr. **133** (1987), 7–32. MR 912417 (89b:46046)

[KN12] D. Kreit and S. Nicolay, *Some characterizations of generalized Hölder spaces*, Math. Nachr. **285** (2012), no. 17-18, 2157–2172. MR 3002607

[ROS14] X. Ros-Oton and J. Serra, *Regularity theory for general stable operators*, http://arxiv.org/pdf/1412.3892v1, 2014.

[Ul′68] P. L. Ul′janov, *The embedding of certain classes $H_p{}^\omega$ of functions*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **32** (1968), 649–686. MR 0231194 (37 #6749)

E-mail address: `bjc0204@snu.ac.kr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, BUILDING 27, 1 GWANAK-RO, GWANAK-GU SEOUL 151-747, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: `moritz.kassmann@uni-bielefeld.de`

UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, POSTFACH 100131, D-33501 BIELEFELD, GERMANY